



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,707	08/06/2001	Tetsuro Motoyama	208695US-2	8762
22850	7590	10/17/2005	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GEREZGIHER, YEMANE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/921,707		MOTOYAMA, TETSURO	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yemane M. Gerezgiher		2144	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Yemane M. Gerezgiher.
(3)\_\_\_\_\_
- (2) Mr. Edwin D. Garlepp.
(4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 October 2005.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic    b)☐ Video Conference  
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant    2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    d)☐ Yes    e)☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 16, 31(independent claims) and claims 5 and 20 (dependent claims).

Identification of prior art discussed: combined teachings of Angwin(U.S. Patent Number 6,477,576 ) and Ficco (U.S. Patent Number 6,868,292).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.    g)☒ was not reached.    h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MARC D. THOMPSON  
MARC THOMPSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant presented proposed amendment and argued that the combined teachings of Angwin and Ficco do not teach the limitations as amended. However, the argument was not persuasive, because the proposed change remains within the scope of the combined teachings. The examiner suggested clarification/amendment clarifying how the recited main server of the wireless LAN carries out the conversion of the protocol in the dependent claims 5 and 20; and strongly encouraged to further incorporate these functional limitations of the dependent claims 5 and 20 into the independent claims.

MARC D. THOMPSON

  
PRIMARY EXAMINER